



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/468,085	12/21/1999	MINORU MIYATAKE	Q57340	3194
75	190 11/06/2002			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC			EXAMINER	
	ISYLVANIA AVENUE N W TON, DC 20037		CHUNG, DAVID Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A C
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
, Office Action Commons	09/468,085	MIYATAKE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Chung	2871
ு- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Pசiod for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, r y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 , cause the application to beco	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 A	August 2002 .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration	n.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	t.
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	pted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b	☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received	l.
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	I in Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application h	as been received.
Attachment(s)	p, aa 00 0	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:

Application/Control Number: 09/468,085

Art Unit: \*\*\*

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ouderkirk et al. (U.S. 5,825,543). Ouderkirk et al. discloses an optical film where the index of refraction of continuous and disperse phases are substantially matched along a first orthogonal axis and substantially mismatched along a second orthogonal axis. The indices of the two regions differ by no more than 0.03 in the matched regions and differ by at least 0.07 in the mismatched regions. This type of scheme provides a high degree of control in providing optical bodies of consistent and predictable high quality performance. See column 7, lines 30 – 37. The range claimed by applicant for the length of the dispersed liquid crystal polymer particles is very broad and virtually non-limiting. The length of conventional liquid crystal polymer particles is well within this range. Furthermore, the chemical composition of the thermoplastic liquid crystal polymer as recited in claim 2 was well known and obvious for showing liquid crystal characteristics within a predetermined temperature range as evidenced by the disclosure of Hiji et al. (U.S. 5,953,089). See column 4, lines 55-67 and note the

Application/Control Number: 09/468,085

Art Unit: \*\*\*

ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use this liquid crystal polymer in the optical film of Ouderkirk et al. because it exhibits liquid crystal characteristics within a specific temperature range.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-0155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

David Chung GAU 2871 11/04/02

Kenneth Parker Primary Examiner GAU 2871